

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 05/22/2001 Russell D. Beste AERX070DIV 09/863,215 **EXAMINER** 24353 03/30/2004 7590 **BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP** NORDMEYER; PATRICIA L 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 200 MENLO PARK, CA 94025 1772

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No	Applicant(s)	V
Advisory Action	09/863,215	BESTE, RUSSELL D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See attached sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attached sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: 7,9 and 10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/863,215

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Continuation of #2: The amendment made in 7 in the paper filed February 19, 2004 has not been entered since it raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or a new search. The limitation added to claim 7, "wherein said first layer has laser ablated holes therein having diameters no greater than one micron", raises new issue because further consideration and searching is needed.

Continuation of #5: The application is not placed in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment, which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's arguments drawn to the limitation of "wherein said first layer has laser ablated holes therein having diameters no greater than one micron", has not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found to be persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments.

Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record mailed on February 19, 2004.

Note: The response sent on February 19, 2004 was non-compliant. The amendment did not include all of the claims with their proper identifiers. Claims 1 – 4 are missing from the amendment. All claims, cancelled or withdrawn, need to be included in the amendment.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

Pln

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3